

### Performance of OpenMP loop transformations for the acoustic wave stencil on GPUs

Jaime Freire de Souza, Leticia Suellen Farias Machado, Edson Satoshi Gomi, Claude Tadonki, Simon Mcintosh-Smith, Hermes Senger

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Jaime Freire de Souza, Leticia Suellen Farias Machado, Edson Satoshi Gomi, Claude Tadonki, Simon Mcintosh-Smith, et al.. Performance of OpenMP loop transformations for the acoustic wave stencil on GPUs. SC22 The International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage, and Analysis, Nov 2022, Dallas, United States. . hal-03888100

#### HAL Id: hal-03888100 https://minesparis-psl.hal.science/hal-03888100

Submitted on 29 Dec 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Performance of OpenMP loop transformations for the acoustic wave stencil on GPUs

<sup>2</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil <sup>3</sup>Mines Paristech/PSL, France

J.F.D Souza<sup>1,2</sup> L.S.F. Machado<sup>1</sup> E. Gomi<sup>2</sup> C. Tadonki<sup>3</sup> S. McIntosh-Smith<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), Brazil

# **OpenMP and heterogeneous architectures**

- The support for heterogeneous architectures was introduced in OpenMP 4.0 and OpenMP 4.5.
- OpenMP 5.1 introduced *unroll* and *tiling* loop transformations. Code offloading for these transformations is supported in Clang 13.
- Despite being around for decades, the availability of these transformations for portability across compilers in OpenMP is relatively new. And we exercise it.

# The application kernel

Kernel of seismic applications such as in full-waveform inversion (FWI) and reverse-time migration (RTM), the propagation of acoustic waves can be be modeled as follows:

$$rac{1}{v_p^2}rac{\partial^2 
ho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},t)}{\partial t^2} - 
abla^2 
ho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},t) = f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},t) \quad (1)$$

where  $v_p$  is the velocity,  $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, t)$  is the pressure field, and f(x, y, z, t) is the source. This PDE is solved by finite differences on a 3D grid spaced by distances  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ , and  $\Delta z$ . By using second-order central differences, we get the following discretized equation (for 2nd-spatial order), where  $\Delta t$  is the time increment:

$$p_{i,j,k}^{(n+1)} = 2p_{i,j,k}^{(n)} - p_{i,j,k}^{(n-1)} + 2\Delta t^2 \cdot v^2 \left( \frac{p_{i+1,j,k}^{(n)} - 2p_{i,j,k}^{(n)} + p_{i-1,j,k}^{(n)}}{\Delta x^2} + \frac{p_{i,j+1,k}^{(n)} - 2p_{i,j,k}^{(n)} + p_{i,j-1,k}^{(n)}}{\Delta y^2} \right)$$

$$(2)$$

$$+ rac{p_{i,j,k+1}^{(n)} - 2p_{i,j,k}^{(n)} + p_{i,j,k-1}^{(n)}}{\Delta z^2} \Big)$$

A major performance issue with stencils is their high demand for memory access.

1 for(int t = 0; t < time\_steps; t++) {</pre> #pragma omp target teams distribute parallel for  $\setminus$ collapse(3) for(int i = radius; i < d1 - radius; i++){</pre> for(int j = radius; j < d2 - radius; j++){</pre> for(int k = radius; k < d3 - radius; k++){</pre> for(int ir = 1; ir <= radius; ir++){</pre> // stencil point calculation

**Listing 1:** The baseline strategy for the wave equation on GPUs.





1	for(int
2	#prag
	colla
3	#prag
4	for(
5	for
6	t
7	
8	
9	
10	





b) space order 8 a) space order c) space order 16 **Figure 1:** Shapes of 3D stencils used in the experiments.

# **Setup of Experiments**

• Experiments on three GPU architectures (see Table 1) • Discretized 2nd time order, space orders of 2, 8, and 16; • Grid sizes: 256<sup>3</sup>, 512<sup>3</sup>, and 1024<sup>3</sup> points with 400, 800, and 1600 time steps;

• Float precision FP32, and FP64;

• Four strategies: collapse, unroll, tile, tile+unroll. For tilling, best block shapes were obtained via auto-tuning.

**Table 1:** GPUs architecture specifications.

	RTX 2080 Super	V100	A100
GPU Architecture	Turing	Volta	Ampere
SMs	48	80	108
CUDA cores / GPU	3072	5120	6912
Peak FP64 TFLOPS	0.35	7.8	9.7
Peak FP32 TFLOPS	11.2	15.7	19.5
Memory Size	8 GB	32 GB	40 GB
Memory Bandwidth	496 GB/s	900 GB/s	1555 GB/s
Shared Memory / SM	64 KB	96 KB	164 KB
L2 Cache Size	4 MB	6 MB	40 MB

t t = 0; t < time\_steps; t++) { gma omp target teams distribute parallel for \ apse(3)gma omp tile sizes(BLOCK1,BLOCK2,BLOCK3) int i = radius; i < d1 - radius; i++) {</pre> r(int j = radius; j < d2 - radius; j++){ for(int k = radius; k < d3 - radius; k++){</pre> • • • #pragma omp unroll full for(int ir = 1; ir <= radius; ir++){</pre> // stencil point calculation

**Listing 2:** Using tiling and unroll.









H. Senger <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>4</sup>University of Bristol, UK





block size.

