



Power system and carbon capture under climate policy: a water impact analysis with TIAM-FR

Sandrine Selosse, Stéphanie Bouckaert, Edi Assoumou, Nadia Maïzi

► To cite this version:

Sandrine Selosse, Stéphanie Bouckaert, Edi Assoumou, Nadia Maïzi. Power system and carbon capture under climate policy: a water impact analysis with TIAM-FR. International Energy Workshop (IEW), Jun 2012, Cap Town, South Africa. 5 p. hal-00868646

HAL Id: hal-00868646

<https://minesparis-psl.hal.science/hal-00868646>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Power system and Carbon capture under Climate policy: A water impact analysis with TIAM-FR

Sandrine SELOSSE¹, Stéphanie BOUCKAERT, Edi ASSOUMOU and Nadia MAÏZI

MINES ParisTech, Centre for Applied Mathematics, France

Keywords: Energy system, long-term modelling, TIAM-FR, Climate change, CO₂ mitigation, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), Water impact

1- Introduction

An increasing body of literature assesses the attainability of stringent CO₂ mitigation targets (e.g. van Vuuren *et al.*, 2007), depending on a wide range of different reduction options, and the technology 'readiness' of advanced technologies, in particular the industrial scale of carbon capture and storage (CCS) and the combination of bio-energy, carbon capture and geologic storage (BECCS). Introducing CCS to abate emissions appears more and more as incontrovertible to reduce future CO₂ emissions in line with the consensual limit of 2°C temperature increase (IPCC, 2007b). This all the more if we consider that fossil fuels will remain the dominant sources of energy over the next decades and that, as a result, CO₂ emissions will drastically increase to reach unsustainable levels. Furthermore, among the technological options to mitigate CO₂ emissions, BECCS is gaining increasing attention, as this alternative offers a unique opportunity for a net carbon removal from the atmosphere while fulfilling energy needs (Herzog *et al.*, 2005; Azaret *et al.*, 2006; van Vuuren *et al.*, 2007; Katofsky *et al.*, 2010).

The aim of this study is to analyze alternative development paths of the energy system investigating different constraints on the use of CCS and BECCS, under climate policy context. This analysis is conducted using the global multiregional TIAM-FR optimization model. Water needs of the processes have been implemented in this version of the model (Bouckaert *et al.*, 2012). So we also analyze the increase pressure by the development of carbon capture technologies (fossil and biomass) on the water resources and discuss the plausibility of technological choices in terms of water availability.

¹Corresponding author:

MINES ParisTech, Center for Applied Mathematics, BP 207, 06904 Sophia Antipolis, France
E-mail: Sandrine.selosse@mines-paristech.fr

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 describes the methodology used for the analysis and the constraints scenarios. Section 3 presents the results of the long-term modeling, and the final section concludes with a discussion on the CCS option.

2- Model and scenario analysis

TIAM-FR is the French version of the TIMES Integrated Assessment Model, a widely used, linear programming TIMES family model developed under the IEA's Energy Technology Systems Analysis Program (ETSAP). TIAM-FR is a bottom-up energy system model. It depicts the world energy system with a detailed description of different energy forms, technologies and end-uses constituting the Reference Energy System (RES). The RES network links these commodities to several thousand existing and future technologies characterized by their economic and technological parameters in all sectors of the energy system (agriculture, industry, commercial, residential and transport; taking into account conversion and the electricity sector). The system includes the extraction, transformation, distribution, and trade of various energy forms and materials, and their end-uses.

TIAM-FR is driven by end-use demand and aims to supply energy services at minimum global cost by simultaneously making decisions on equipment investment and operation, primary energy supply, and energy trade (Loulou and Labriet, 2007). TIAM-FR is geographically integrated in 15 global regions and covers the time horizon from 2005 to 2100; nevertheless, this study investigates until 2050. The structure of the energy system is given as an output, i.e. future investments, type and capacity of the energy technologies, energy consumption by fuel, emissions, energy trade flows between regions, detailed energy system costs, and marginal costs of environmental measures such as CO₂ mitigation targets.

Through its integrated climate module, the model makes it possible to analyze and make assumptions on atmospheric GHG concentrations and temperature changes. It integrates CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O emissions from each fuel combustion and process. TIAM-FR integrates several carbon capture and sequestration technologies derived from fossil or bioenergy resources. In the power sector, the model considers two capture technologies for biopower: pre-combustion for the biomass gasification process, and post-combustion for the direct combustion process. Biomass co-firing in coal power plants has also been implemented in TIAM-FR, with and without carbon capture technologies (Ricci and Selosse, 2011).

In the model, biomass is characterized by manifold sources - industrial waste, municipal waste, landfill gas, bioenergy crops, and solid biomass resources - and the fact that it is not traded between regions. The maximum amount of available biomass for each region is determined exogenously according to IEA data. The global potential is estimated at 234 EJ per year in 2050. In literature, biomass potential varies greatly given the different assumptions on land use, yield development, food consumption and other criteria of sustainability such as water scarcity and loss in biodiversity (van Vuuren *et al*, 2009). This potential varies between 100 EJ and 400 EJ per year over the period 2050-2100.

To highlight the importance of water impact in the evolution of energy system and discuss the plausibility of future technological options, particularly in climate policy context, water footprints of the different processes have been implemented in the model. So water consumption and withdrawal have been indicated for all processes (Bouckaert *et al*, 2012).

So, to analyze possible alternative development paths of the future energy system we investigated alternative scenarios according to different assumptions concerning:

- Climate policy, regarding to regional et/or global CO₂ emissions mitigation targets;
- Cumulated carbon storage capacities;
- Development of BECCS technologies;
- Water availability.

3- Results

The analysis of the results focuses on the effects of the various constraints (environmental, resources and technological) on the power mix and on the future technological investments. The water impact of the latter, in terms of water needs, is also analyzed, insofar as the water withdrawals and consumptions for energy production are assessed by the model.

The climate policy scenarios investigated highlight the importance of technology improvements and lead to a noticeable expansion of renewable energy and CCS technologies in the power sector, fossil and/or BECCS according to technological constraints. In a general manner, CCS from fossil fuel is mainly deployed in fast developing countries that are well endowed with coal. The remaining fossil fuel use is indeed in combination with carbon capture and storage. BECCS is highly distributed in developing countries, even though biomass resources are widely available in all regions.

The assumptions according to carbon storage capacities and BECCS investments involve different sets of mitigation options across regions, with varying shares of renewable energy, CCS, gas, and biomass. The water analysis allow us to discuss the dependence between water and the energy production system and, by the way, to put in perspective the technological solutions to climate and environmental constraints.

4- Conclusion

The use of fossil and biomass emerges as a response to carbon constraint, especially for fast developing countries and developing countries. The capture and storage of CO₂ offers the potential for near-zero CO₂ emissions from fossil-based power plants and negative CO₂ emissions from biomass-based power plants. A major issue related to the deployment of CCS and BECCS is their economic viability. If they are to become significant, economic incentives will be required. The role of CCS and BECCS in mitigating climate change partly depends on their ability to reduce costs and, by consequence, their commercialization on an industrial scale in the marketplace (technology learning), as well as sustained Research, Development & Demonstration. In addition, covering the distance to CO₂ capture and storage sites will involve developing and financing infrastructure for transporting CO₂. Moreover, safety problems and social acceptability must also be considered in terms of risks and concerns for long-term CO₂ geological storage. A regulatory system is required to supervise the selection of appropriate sites, long-term ownership and liabilities, and a monitoring program to detect problems. For example, in the case of carbon leaking back into the atmosphere, methods should be developed to stop or control CO₂ releases.

Concerning biomass energy, being directly tied to forests, food and other ecosystems, its use induce environmental and social impacts, both positive and negative. That the reason why biomass has to

be sustainably produced. When accompanied by incentives, BECCS appears as an option to satisfy climate constraints and, at the same time, as fulfilling energy demands (consistent with Obersteiner *et al*, 2001). The potential for fossil and biomass exists but regulatory barriers have to be discussed and removed as knowledge gaps are filled. The increasing need to limit CO₂ emissions and the current limits of alternative technologies constitute assets in this sense. For example, renewable options should in no way be excluded from the debate, but they need to be thought out in terms of the structural costs of investments in the power network required to integrate intermittent energies. Therefore, a complete and complex chain of processes and procedures has to be thought through and determined in the design of future energy policies.

The global community has also to recognize that climate change will affect freshwater availability (IPCC, 2007a). In this context, another important issue, less developed in the energy system literature, consists in the increase pressure these technologies involve on the water resources. It is important to understand and estimate the dependency between water and energy systems, particularly according to the possibility of reduced water availability in the future due to climate change and the increased energy and water demands. This could have important implications for policy makers (Siddiqi and Diaz Anadon, 2011).

5- Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Chair Modeling for sustainable development, driven by MINES ParisTech, Ecole des PontsParisTech, AgroParisTech, and ParisTech, supported by ADEME, EDF, RENAULT, SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC and TOTAL.

6- References

- Azar C., Lindgren K., Larson E., and Möllersten K., 2006: Carbon capture and storage from fossil fuels and biomass - Costs and potential role in stabilizing the atmosphere. *Climatic Change*, **74**(1-3), pp. 47-79.
- Bouckaert S., Selosse S., Dubreuil A., Assoumou E. and Maïzi N., 2012, Analyzing Water Supply in Future Energy Systems Using the TIMES Integrated Assessment Model (TIAM-FR), *Journal of Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics*, Article in press.
- Hendriks C., de Visser E., Jansen S., Carbo M., Jan Ruijg G., Davison J., 2009, Capture of CO₂ from medium-scale emission sources, *Energy Procedia*, **1** (1), 1497-1504
- Herzog H., Smekens K., Dadhich P., Dooley J., Fujii Y., Hohmayer O., Riahi K., 2005: Cost and economic potential. In: *IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 339-362
- IPCC, 2007a, Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, *Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*
- IPCC, 2007b, Climate Change 2007: Mitigation of Climate Change, *Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*

Katofsky R., Stanberry M. and Frantzis L., 2010. Achieving climate stabilization in an insecure world: does renewable energy hold the key? *Report International Energy Agency - Renewable Energy Technology Development*.

Loulou R. and Labriet M., 2007. ETSAP-TIAM: the TIMES integrated assessment model (Part I: Model structure), *Computational Management Science*, doi: 10.1007/s10287-007-0046-z.

Merce Rio Carrillo A. and Frei C., 2009, Water: a key resource in energy production, *Energy policy*, 37, pp. 4303-4312

Obersteiner M., Azar C., Kauppi P., Möllersten K., Moreira J. and Nilsson S., 2001, Managing Climate Risk, *Science*, 294, 786-787

Ricci O. and Selosse S., 2011, Global and regional potential for bioelectricity with carbon capture and storage, *Chair Modeling for Sustainable Development*, Working paper, 2011-03

Siddiqi A. and Diaz Anadon L., 2011, The water-energy nexus in Middle East and North Africa, *Energy Policy*, 39, pp. 4529-4540

van den Broek M., Veenendaal V., Koutstaal P., Turkenburg W. and Faaij A., 2011. Impact of international climate policies on CO₂ capture and storage deployment: Illustrated in the Dutch energy system, *Energy Policy*, 39, pp. 2000–2019.

van Vuuren D., Den Elzen M., Lucas P., Eickhout B. and Strengers B., 2007). Stabilizing greenhouse gas concentrations at low levels: an assessment of reduction strategies and costs, *Climatic Change*, 81, 119-159.