

Interprocedural Analyses of Fortran Programs

Béatrice Creusillet, François Irigoin

To cite this version:

Béatrice Creusillet, François Irigoin. Interprocedural Analyses of Fortran Programs. Parallel Computing, 1997, Vol. 24 (No. 3-4), pp.629-648. 10.1016/S0167-8191(98)00028-3. hal-00752825

HAL Id: hal-00752825 <https://minesparis-psl.hal.science/hal-00752825>

Submitted on 16 Nov 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Interprocedural Analyses of Fortran Programs

Béatrice CREUSILLET and François IRIGOIN^{*}

Centre de recherche en informatique- Ecole des mines de P aris

- rue SaintHonore- Fontainebleau cedex- France

Abstract

Interpretation and more analyses \mathcal{L} mercial compilers. But research on the analysis of Fortran programs is still going on- as a number of problems are not yet satisfactorily solved and others are emerging with new language dialects This paper presents a survey of the main interpretation interpretation and techniques- with an emphasis on the suitability of the suitability of the analysis framework for the characteristics of the original semantic prob lem. Our experience with the PIPS interprocedural compiler workbench is then a make take pips includes a make the mechanism-care of the mechanism-care of the care of the care of the care o the interleavings between top-down and bottom-up analyses and allows a quick prototyping of new interprocedural analyses Intensive summarization is used to reduce storage requirements and achieve reasonable analysis times when deal ing with real-life applications. The speed/accuracy tradeoffs made for PIPS are discussed in the light of other interprocedural tools

Key words- Interprocedural analysis- parallelization- reengineering- pips

^{*}E-mail: {creusillet,irigoin}@cri.ensmp.fr

Introduction

Real life applications are split into several procedures to factorize code as much as possible and to improve readability- Traditional compilers only provide separate com pilation and procedure boundaries prevent many analyses and optimizations such as constant propagation for instance although they are of paramount importance in par allelizing compilers to achieve reasonable performances- They are also more and more necessary for sequential machinese techniques have been machiness have been procedure techniques have introduced to cope with this problem-

To our knowledge, the oldest article about interprocedural analyses was written more than twenty years ago by Allen - Since then research has focused on three aspects of interprocedures techniques. Traineworks filed a belief microsofthere if s emantic problems (what information to propagate), and the representation of problem solutions, which is critical because the amount of gathered information is much larger than with intraprocedural analyses-

Today many commercial compilers include some interprocedural optimizations- In 1991, the CONVEX Application Compiler was the first on the market $|58|$; it includes several complex analyses, such as interprocedural pointer tracking or array section analysis. The activities for this complete the form the found in several research in several research in several research projects ptran ParaScope Parafrase and pat - Other commer cial products, such as the product line developed by Applied Parallel Research, the xlf compiler from the sgi forest compilers and variety the same of the same compilers of the same complete \mathcal{C} have since included various interprocedural analyses.

But most interprocedural techniques are still in the research domain- Several ad vanced analyses are too costly to be integrated in commercial products-to-the index \mathbf{r}_i guage dialects such as hpf are emerging and with them new interprocedural semantic problems- research , we problems are being solved new applications are considered and

This paper is organized as follows- In Section we survey the main approaches proposed to solve problems due to procedure calls- We emphasize the relationship between the interprocedural framework and the characteristics of the semantic problem-This study is restricted to the analysis of Fortran-like programs, but we also cite papers about other languages when the presented techniques can readily be applied- As an example, we use in Section 2 the interprocedural framework of PIPS, the open compiler workbeligh developed at Ecole des mines de P aris. The mist explain how miterprocedural analyses are performed, and how they are supported by an interprocedural engine, PipsMake- The main analyses used for program parallelization or reengineering are then described as well as recent and planned developments- Finally pips is evaluated along with similar research tools-

A Survey of Interprocedural Techniques $\mathbf 1$

When dealing with a semantic problem whose solutions involve information from sevrst procedures two decisions have to be made-to and the choice of the choice of the choice of the choice of th interprocedural technique Inlining or interprocedural analysis or a mix of them Sec tion -- Secondly an interprocedural framework must be chosen Section - de pending on some of the characteristics of the semantic problem Section --

Many semantic problems bene
t from interprocedural techniques- The most im portant ones are described in Section --

1.1 Inlining? Interprocedural Analysis? Or Cloning?

Since procedure boundaries are handled very conservatively by traditional compilers the first and most intuitive approach to handle procedure calls is to expand them inline, that is to replace each call by the corresponding code, in a recursive top-down or bottomup manner- The main advantage is that usual intraprocedural analyses and transformations can be applied on the resulting code, hopefully with the same precision- However there are several drawbacks

- 1. Infining is not always possible, que to array reshaping , or recursive procedures in languages other than FORTRAN 77.
- 2. The resulting code is usually much larger than the original-. It a procedure a calls procedure b t_{ab} times, and procedure b calls procedure c t_{bc} times, then c is expanded $t_{ab}\times t_{bc}$. The growth of the code is thus potentially exponential, and this increases the complexity of subsequent analyses-
- Even when the resulting code is not overwhelmingly larger the complexity of subsequent analyses may grow dramatically because their complexity is higher than O (ii) and that we have contained to an and the loop contains not be a loop contains ii) and erences to array A and a procedure which contains n-such references is called the such references is called the such references is called the such references in Δt within, then $n_1 \times n_2$ additional dependence tests are necessary to analyze the loop.
- and the translation mechanisms may reduce the economic the economic the economic the economic subsequent of intraprocedural analyses for example by generating nonlinear terms in array subscript expressions $[16]$.

 Γ array management is more suitable to actually optimize programs. Oscr-controlled infining is usually available in completely compilers in complete and construction is to let the compiler and compiler a in line some functions of \mathbf{N} compilers seem to be the CONVEX Application Compiler and the IBM xlf compiler. However, the best heuristics are usually based on results from previous interprocedural phases $[22]$.

¹This occurs when an array is not similarly declared in the caller and the callee.

⁻Before any subsequent optimization such as dead code elimination

A second approach, is to compute *summaries* of the procedure behaviors, to translate them as accurately as possible into the callers' or callees' name space depending on the direction of the analysis and to use the translated summaries as abstractions of procedures- the opposite advantages and consequences and consequences of indicated and consequences, It does not have its complexity limitations, since no code is duplicated, and since summaries are usually more compact than the code they abstract- Basically if procedure sizes are bounded, and if summaries have a constant or bounded size, interprocedural analyses are linear versus the sizes of the programs- Moreover there is no restriction on the input code see Item on Page - However much precision may be lost due to the summarization techniques in the simulation processes and the simplification of \mathcal{S} the program structure representation-

Between these two approaches a recent technique lessens the drawbacks of inter procedure and the procedure of the construction of the complex of α duplicates procedures on the basis of calling contexts- For example a heuristic based on integer variable values has proved useful in an automatic parallelizer without in creasing the program size dramatically - However even if this approach alleviates some precision problems (see Newserth, and Alexandria are usually based on the usual problems on the compact o another interprocedural information and subsequent program analyses and transfor mations may require an interprocedural propagation of information-

It would seem obvious that the best solution certainly is a mix of these techniques-In the CONVEX Application Compiler, interprocedural analysis results are first used to decide to indicate some functions and the some of duplicate some others- \sim duplicate some otherssolution is chosen, apart from an impractical systematic inlining, some interprocedural and are main existing to main existing techniques are next sections-surveyed in the next section \sim . The next section \sim

³This second approach is less widely spread at least in commercial compilers

1.2 Characteristics of Interprocedural Problems

Some interprocedural problems are intrinsically much more difficult to solve than other ones- For instance it is easier to precisely compute the set of variables that may be modified by a procedure call, than the set of variables that are *always* modified $\lceil 64 \rceil$ because in the last case the internal control flow of the called procedures must be taken into account.

Two main criteria have been proposed to classify interprocedural problems: Flow sensitivity and context sensitivity (and called path specificity) for 0 1, 00 ft

An interprocedural problem is said to be *flow sensitive* if it cannot be solved precisely without considering the internal control of the above mental control of \sim above mental control of \sim tioned may be modi-ed problem is ow insensitive while the must be modi-ed problem is ow sensitive- For example in the procedure bar in Figure ^j and ^k both belong to the modified modified therefore at a content of the set of the set one instruction which is the set of the \sim es them to the modification of the must be modificated the must be modificated the modification of the modification only along one control ow path the true test branch and not along the other one the false test branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-branch-bran
The false of the fa

Figure 1: Flow sensitivity

Figure 2: Unrealizable paths

Interprocedural context sensitive or path speci-c analyses are analyses whose re sults depend on the execution path taken to reach a point in the program- Suppose we want to compute the value of x after each call to food in the example of Figure - \sim us assume that for a context its parameters-its parameters-its insensitive algorithm does not all the context i distinguish the two paths E-R and $E-R'$ through foo, and considers that the value of x before the calls is *either 5 or 7* because of arcs **E** and **E**', and therefore that it is *either* σ σ and σ and σ and σ in fact, this algorithm takes into account two *unrealizable paths.* One from the rst call to the return node for the second call E-R and another one from the second call to the return node for the
rst call E-R- However there are only two possible paths namely E-^R and E-R-

Of course, these two criteria must be taken into account when chosing or implementing an interprocedural analysis framework-

1.3 Interprocedural Analysis Frameworks

Many interprocedural analysis frameworks have been de
ned some examples can be found in - They dier in several ways The degree of summarization and the accuracy of the translation across procedure calls are two important issues and directly depend on the specific semantic problem and the representation of its solutions- But the representation of the program structure on which the information is conveyed and the ability to perform or not flow sensitive or context sensitive analyses are also are two problems are related to the problems are related to the shown in the relation \sim section.

Interprocedural analyses can be performed on the program call graph, where nodes represent individual procedures and edges represent call sites - Each edge is labeled with the actual parameters associated to the corresponding call site.

⁴Mathematically, this is a *multigraph*, since two nodes can be connected by several edges.

Call graphs can be constructed very efficiently $[71, 45]$, and can provide sufficient information for many program optimizations including parallelization- However they do not allow flow sensitive interprocedural analyses, because they do not take into account the intraprocedural control flow of individual procedures.

To perform now sensitive analyses, such as array region analyses , interprocedural representations of the program must therefore provide information about the internal control owell as procedures as well as the external control over the state of precise application of the most proach is to take into account the whole internal control flow graph of every procedure. However, resulting data flow analyses may be as complex as on a fully inlined program.

To reduce this complexity one solution is to use a less precise abstraction of the program structure in order to reduce its size- This can be done either at the inter procedural level or even at the intraprocedural level- In this last case the size of the representation of each procedure is reduced- Several sparse interprocedural repre sentations have been designed for particular classes of problems, such as the *program* s ummary graph $\vert \texttt{1} \sigma \vert$, the system aepenaence graph $\vert \sigma \texttt{1}, \ldots$. Intraprocedural sparse representations include the SSA form $\left[3, 32, 76\right]$ and the sparse data flow evaluation graphic procedural graph interpretations and university and provides a demonstration of the demonstration of the commentation of the commentat representation which combines the advantages of the sparse representations without restricting the scope of the possible analyses- The rationale of these approaches is to avoid computing irrelevant intermediate results, while still performing a global analysis.

Reducing the cost of interprocedural data flow analyses can also be achieved by demand the second second such as the second second complex of ysis $[15, 59, 75]$ addresses the problem of avoiding unnecessary recomputations of data flow solutions in case of local program changes; but it requires an initial exhaustive solution-to solution-to the cost of interpretation to reduce the cost of interpretation is to perform the cost of interpretation of interpretational and the cost of interpretation of the cost of the cost of the cost of the

 5 Array region analyses collect information about the way array elements are used and defined by the program

them in *parallel* [39, 56].

When the representation of the program interprocedural control flow is approximative, and when the problem is context sensitive, the ability to avoid taking into account unrealizable paths is an issue- Several solutions have been proposed for this problem, the most common approach being to tag solutions with path history or path speci
c information - However this may result in an exponential growth of the number of solutions at each node, and thus in overwhelming execution times and memory requirements- Selective cloning also appears as a partial solution since it reduces the number of unrealizable paths taken into account- From our experience with the pips parallelizer combining results from several analyses can also the problems and problems with problem-size with preconditions and the case with preconditions and the ca see Section -- They correctly handle the case of Figure although neither analysis is context sensitive-

Many other problems may also be addressed by interprocedural tools, but are not developed here: Recursivity, formal procedures , or unavailable source code for called procedures.

1.4 Some Usual Interprocedural Problems

For the last two decades, the interprocedural analysis of scientific programs has been chiefly driven by research on automatic parallelization, parallelizing large loops containing procedure calls being of the utmost importance to achieve good performances-

At first, the main purpose was therefore to analyze interprocedural dependences. And alias analysis [16, 29, 72, 62] as well as *summary data flow information* or *inter* p rocedural side-effects (SDFT) [20] on scalar variables were among the main analyses. To enhance the results of these analyses and to enable other useful code transformations

 ${}^{6}\mathrm{Rec}$ ursivity and formal procedures are not often used in scientific programs.

such as partial redundancy elimination $[63]$, many other interprocedural scalar analyses have also been introduced- They range from constant propagation to subexpression availability and variable values $[47]$, ranges $[13]$, or preconditions $[53, 52]$ propagation- arrays more accurately than some array than sensitive array regions than some array regionanalysis was introduced by TRIOLET $[77]$, followed by many others $[21, 7, 50, 57]$.

Today, many commercial products include some interprocedural flow insensitive analyses, as complex as array region analyses, or pointer tracking in the most advanced tools such as the convex Application Compiler- But research prototypes are still ahead, in particular for symbolic analyses $\left[40, 54\right]$ and flow sensitive array region analyses $[37, 42, 78, 31]$ which are mainly used for array privatization in parallelizing tools- Also the compilation of fortran dialects such ashpf raises new interproce dural problems $[1, 44, 9]$.

1.5 Conclusion

a wide variety of interpretedural frameworks exists and they are more or less adapted to the position or less a speci
c interprocedural problem- The choice mainly depends on the characteristics of the problem, such as flow sensitivity or context sensitivity, but also on the desired complexity/precision ratio, given that the quality of the underlying intraprocedural analysis sets an upper bound on the precision of the interprocedural analysis-

Many flow sensitive analyses are still too complex for commercial products, which only implement owinsensitive interprocedural analyses- But as good experimental results are published $\left[43, 11\right]$, and as the power and the memory sizes of computers increase such analyses will undoubtedly appear in commercial tools- Not long ago even flow insensitive array region analyses were considered too time and space consuming- They are now implemented in all leading research parallelizer projects and can be found in some commercial compilers $[58]$.

2 An Example: The PIPS Compiler Workbench

It is more and more widely acknowledged that not only program optimizations for parallel and sequential target machines, but also program maintenance and reverseengineering bene
t from interprocedural techniques- To experiment various applica tions without having to build a new tool each time a common infrastructure is neces sary- pips is such a sourcetosource fortran open compiler workbench- The project began in 1988, with the generation of code for parallel vector computers with shared memory- Its infrastructure has since proven good enough not to require any major change- Today its main use is code generation for distributed memory machines- An other current research track is the restructuring and reverse-engineering of production codes.

In this section we focus on pips interprocedural characteristics- In Sections and - we describe its interpretation - we describe its interpretation - we then present in Section - we then p the mainless corresponding analyses are procedured in pipe- and in the pipe- and the section deals with the co recent and planned developments- Finally the fth section is devoted to an informal comparison with similar interprocedural tools-

2.1 PIPS Interprocedural Framework

it also used the chip context selection is the context since and context sensitive context sensitive analyses the program representation contains the individual control flow graphs of all the procedures- summarization is used to the complexity for all interpretations for all interpretations in the complexity analyses when the program size increases in the process of \mathbb{F}_p is accepted by elements of \mathbb{F}_p formation and by avoiding list data structures whose size could increase with the pro cedure in the call the call graphs in the call declines is performed to a construction of performed to a common at each call site using the correspondences between formal and actual parameters and

between common declarations -

For a ownward analyses (see Figure 9), the callers are analyzed mist, the information ϵ at each call site is propagated to the called subroutine to form a summary; when there are several call sites for a single procedure, the summaries corresponding to the call sites are merged, after being translated into the callee's name space, to form a unique summary- This summary is then used to perform the intraprocedural analysis of the called procedure.

For upward analyses see Figure the leaves of the call tree are analyzed rst-The procedure summaries are then used at each call site, after the translation process, during the intraprocedural analysis of the callers.

Figure 3: Downward propagation

Figure 4: Upward propagation

⁷When the translation is not possible (because the variable is declared in a SAVE statement, or because it belongs to a COMMON not declared in the caller) a unique global identifier is used.

2.2 PIPS Interprocedural Engine

With PIPS infrastructure, the programmer does not have to worry about the orchestration of various interdependent analyses or phases about the call graph traversal order and about the availability or other and all the resources $\{1,2,3,4\}$. The main $\{1,2,3,4\}$ mechanism, called PipsMake, automatically determines the order in which the different phases must be scheduled for the different procedures to have the resources ready when needed initially or after some minor changes of sources $[17]$ or analysis options. The ordering is automatically deduced from a specification file, written by the phase designers using a callbyneed interpretation- For instance the following production rule for array regions

> regions > MODULE.regions < PROGRAM.entities < MODULE.transformers < MODULE.preconditions < CALLEES.summary_regions

opocimes that the production of the resource report of the resource sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub $ule)$ is performed by the function regions, and that it requires the program symbol table entities several other pieces of information about the current module transformers and preconditions it and the summary regions of and the called \sim therefore describes a backward propagation- Similarly a forward analysis would be described by a production rule depending on information from the callers-

The programmer of the new phase must solely provide the function regions which performs the intraprocedural propagation of the resource and translates the summary regions of the callees into the current module name space- PipsMake automatically calls the function regions after having computed the other necessary resources or after having checked that they are available and up-to-date.

This allows a quick prototyping of both forward and backward analyses on the pro gram call graph provided that the program is nonrecursive- In addition the simplicity of the scheme from the designer point of view promotes results-and analysis resultsother advantage of this architecture is that it is demand-driven at the procedure level: Resources are computed or re-computed only once and only when requested by other analyses or transformations- This simple scheme based on summaries provides the ex ibility and speed necessary for interactivity when dealing with source changes and new option selections because each intraprocedural analysis is performed fast enough- A lower level of granularity for incremental recompilation would not improve the response time in a useful way.

2.3 PIPS Interprocedural Analyses

Since interprocedurality was at the origin of the PIPS project, all its analyses are interprocedural but the dependence analysis - This section provides a description of the analyses that have proven to be useful for program transformations such as the parallelization of FORTRAN codes or the understanding of program behavior, which is a crucial issue for the maintenance of application codes-codes-codes-codes-codes-codes-codes-codesditions and array region analyses are described below- No alias analysis is performed because the FORTRAN 77 standard forbids interprocedural aliasing of modified variables $s = -1$. Proceed processes to the r

extensive and the contract of the contract of

This abstraction describes the memory operations performed by statements or proce durest come the contract memory reference is a read or write the contract or where μ reference is information about the likeliness of the reference: $must$ effects are always performed by

⁸PIPS can automatically parallelize loop nests with procedure calls, but the interprocedural part is carried out by external analysis control and α

all executions, while *may* effects may be performed by some execution, or even never. Procedure summaries are obtained by eliminating eects on local variables- These summaries are then translated in the caller's name space for each call site, describing the effects of each call statement.

This information can be used to parallelize loops containing procedure calls thanks to the translation process- Eects are also used to support other analyses such as preconditions, to avoid propagating information about a variable if it is neither used nor modified in a subroutine and its descendents.

Transformers

Summary eects are the sets of variables read orwritten by procedures- But they do not describe how these variables are modi
ed- In pips this is the role of transformers-Transformers abstract the effects of instructions and procedure calls upon the values of integer scalar variables by affine approximations of the relations that exist between their values before and after any execution of a statement or procedure call- For example, the dummy procedure foo:

```
subroutine foo-
ij
if it is a contract of the second cont
      i = 10else
      i = i+1endif
j = j+1end
```
is abstracted by the transformer $T(i,j)$ {10<=i, i#init<=i, j==j#init+1}. It means that the value of $\mathbf i$ after any call to foo is greater than 10 and greater than its value before any call, and that the value of j is incremented by 1 .

Real, logical and string variables are not taken into account because the control ow at the loop level is mainly derived from integer values- Moreover dusty decks and validation programs provided by users do not often use logical and character strings and deriving information about floating point values is very difficult.

Transformer analysis is a backward analysis and its results are mainly used by two other types of analyses preconditions and array region analyses- Transformers are called *return functions* in $[18]$.

Preconditions

Many program analyses and transformations can be improved when the relations be tween variable values are known- This is the case for loop parallelization which bene
ts from the knowledge of loop bound values, as well as array subscript symbolic constants. Dead code elimination and code specialization are also greatly enhanced by this type of information-

In PIPS *precondition* analysis labels each statement with a condition on the variable current values holding true before any of its executions- This condition involves equality constraints as well as well as a production of symbolic constants and symbolic constants and an inequalities from test conditions are both preserved- This type of analysis greatly ben e
ts from interprocedural techniques- In many programs many variables used either in loop bounds, in tests conditions or in array subscripts, are initialized in a separate procedure- Tests also often restrict the conditions under which procedures may be called.

Precondition analysis is a forward analysis and relies on transformers to derive postconditions for statement or procedure calls from their preconditions- The infor mation available in initialization routines is moved upwards to the main procedure using transformers and propagated downwards towards the leaf procedures by pre conditions- the whole analysis is thus complete in two traversals of the call graphSummary preconditions are similar to *jump functions* [18].

Array Region Analyses

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and the contracts of the wave about the way array element sets are way array the way of the way are \mathcal{A} used and de
ned by procedures- And this lack of accuracy often prevents subsequent program transformations such as loop parallelization-

To obtain better accuracy and to enable advanced program transformations such as array privatization, several flow sensitive *array region* analyses have been implemented in pips - read and write regions represent the eects of statements and procedures on array elements as sets-controlled by the dependence and the dependence analysis and the dependence phase but also by hpfc the pips hpf compiler - In addition two other types of regions have recently been introduced: IN regions contain the array elements *imported* by the current statement , while OUT regions contain the elements *exported* towards the program continuation -

in and out array regions ease the program comprehension by hiding internal details of procedures and composite statements (composite stape or tests μ and μ providing more composite relevant information to the user than read and write regions- They are also used to privatize array regions in loops - New types of program transformations based on IN and OUT regions are currently being implemented, such as the re-engineering of procedure declarations- in this transformation spots arrays used as the component of the component of the s variables in procedures and reallocates them as stackallocates them as stackallocated local variablesuseful for the maintenance and parallelization of dusty deck programs.

In regions are similar to upward exposed read regions computed by others $(2, 42, 57, 78)$.

tout regions are different from downward exposed regions, because they also depend on the future trackof the computation

2.4 Recent and Planned Developments

We have only described some of the many analyses available in pips- Other analyses include interprocedural symbolic complexity and reduction detection or intraprocedu ral dependence and array data ow analyses - pips also includes an impressive set of intraprocedural program transformations including source code generation which heavily rely on the previously described interprocedural analyses.

The latest developments essentially focus on these program transformations: Array privatization for loops and procedures (a.e. provided and j. a. particles are all deadly deadly code elimination $\left|6\right|$ and code restructuring based on preconditions or use-def chains, for example- The recursive application of transformations and their interaction with the analyses on which they rely creates a new problem in an interprocedural setup-

For example, restructuring a monoprocedural program A using its preconditions can result in more accurate preconditions, which can themselves be used to restructure A , and so one of the simplest schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-schemes-Restructuring A modifies its code, and thus invalidates its transformers, as well as the transformers of B since transformers of B since transformer analysis-dependent analysisin more precise preconditions in B , and therefore in more precise preconditions in A , which can be now be used to restructure A , and so on.

Handling such problems involves an extension of PipsMake to deal with the fix points of analysis and transformation phases-

Also, recent developments in the array region area have shown that representation independence could be improved - For instance dierent array region represen tations such as intervals convex polyhedra list of convex polyhedra or Presburger formulae have been made usable by a generic interprocedural array region engine- Al though the saving expressed in lines of code is limited because the programming effort is more at the operator level than at the engine level, and because operators obviously

depend on the representation this is very useful for the proposition of the second for $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ be used in commercial products to let the user make speedaccuracy tradeos- How ever, the dependence between different kinds of analyses makes such clear interface non trivial- For instance region analysis uses precondition analysis- The combination of a polyhedral representation for regions and of a Presburger-based representation for preconditions, as well as all three other different possible combinations are not obvious and would require additional conversion operators.

Representation independence could be used to move pips away from its original lin ear algebra framework and into polynomial representations as in Parafrase see next section or into Presburger based representations- or when a move has been shown necessary and the shown necess essary in $[14]$, but we feel that linear algebra and polyhedra are an interesting tradeoff in the accuracy/speed space and that their potential has not yet been measured.

2.5 PIPS and Other Research Tools

Many research Fortran optimizing tools include some kind of interprocedural analyses but the closest ones to pips certainly are fiat-suif ParaScope and the D system [44], Polaris [11], Parafrase-2 [68], and Panorama [65, 37, 38].

FIAT/SUIF

suif is an interaprocedural compiler for parallel machines developed at Stanford-Stanford-Stanfordenable the parallelization of loops containing procedure calls, FIAT [46], an interprocedural engine has been added on top of it- fiat is a framework which allows prototyping of owner the programs in the programs interpretedural data owners and our data of the programs of the programs mer has only to provide the initialization functions, the meet operator, the transfer function and the direction of the analysis- Much like PipsMake fiat is demand driven: The programmer does not have to worry about the ordering of interprocedural

analyses-

Several interprocedural analyses are available in fiat-suif- The rst backward analysis computes a transfer function which bears some resemblance with pips trans formers but in its handling of test conditions- Then some kind of preconditions are computed, which give each variable value in terms of loop invariants and indices of enclosing loops- An additional phase propagates inequality constraints from test con array several backward interpretations array performance array regions array regions are computed procedural ar

The support of automatic selective procedure cloning and, hence, of some context sensitivity is a distinctive advantage of this framework- Unfortunately fiat-suif had not yet been released in the public domain for trial when we wrote this paper-

Parascope and the D System

Parascope and the D system are being both developed at Rice University- They use fiate as an interpretation of \mathbb{R}^n . The final energy density is a set of \mathbb{R}^n

Parascope provides several interprocedural analyses mod-ref analysis i-e- ef fects array region analyses based on regular section descriptors RSD alias analysis, constant propagation and symbolic value analysis.

The D system is built in the context of Parascope and aims at compiling Fortran D programs- I is an hour contract and requires specification in process specification and analysis and require yses, such as *reaching decomposition* or *overlap* analyses.

Parafrase

Parafrase-2 is an optimizing and parallelizing source-to-source compiler developed at the University of Illinois at UrbanaChampaign- It includes many phases some of which are interprocedural, especially a powerful symbolic analysis based on polynomials $[40]$.

Polaris

Polaris too is being developed at the University of Illinois- Most Polaris phases are intraprocedural and require inline expansion to parallelize loops eciently- However automatic inlining is provided, and some interprocedural phases, such as constant propagation, have been implemented lately or are planned, such as array region analyses based on lists of regular sections and control sections of \mathbb{R}^n

Panorama

The Panorama parallelizing compiler has been initiated at the University of Minnesota to support systems with memory hierarchies- It performs several interprocedural anal yses, such as use-def chains $\left[49\right]$ and flow-sensitive array region analysis based on lists of groven regular section descriptors performed to analyses are performed analyses are performed to on the program *hierarchical supergraph*, which is an extension of Myers' supergraph. As such, it provides the necessary framework to perform flow and context sensitive analyses- interpretedural engine such as finite interpretedural engine such as finite is used and each control analysis must redefine its own traversal of the hierarchical supergraph.

Relationships with PIPS

It is impossible to compare dierent interprocedural tools in a scienti
c way- They do not provide exactly the same sets of analyses- And when two tools support the same interprocedural analysis, its precision depends on several factors, such as the quality of preliminary analyses, the quality of the intraprocedural propagation, the characteristics of the chosen representation \mathcal{N} information or not, for example), and the precision of the translations across procedure boundaries.

In this context, pips interprocedural framework is unique compared to the other

approaches- Firstly it provides an interprocedural demanddriven engine which also ensures the consistency of the database for a given option selection and source editing-This feature is unique among interprocedural tools because pips was designed primarily to study interpretedural issues-the program representation as a call graphs of the program representation as a call graphs of this engine allows ow sensitive analyses- Secondly pips oers a comprehensive set of interrelated interrelated in the computer $\mathcal{N}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(M)$ array preconditions and array $\mathcal{N}(M)$ regions and of transformations exploiting the symbolic information gathered e-gpartial evaluation-

These features do not come at the expense of execution time and pips can be used interactively as well as in batch mode- As long as no code transformation is applied each analysis is applied only once on each module- At a given average module size summarization results in a linear complexity against the program size for all interprocedural analyses.

Apart from its interprocedural features pips oers a number of advantages- It was designed as an open workbench to support student contributions and distributed devel opment-the can be developed with the developed with pips source because event with pips source because every c useful data structure can be stored on disk and retrieved by and retrieved by and retrieved programmatic cannot pips is a source-to-source tool and great care was taken to produce user-recognizable source code- The control structure of the code and comments are preserved as long as possible-

However, the use of summarization, to keep summaries small, combined with a pure interprocedural framework without cloning often prevents interprocedural path differentiation, whereas other parallelizers $[35, 42]$ partially achieve this goal through automatic selective pips is an interactive to cloning- to an interactive to an interactive tool manual cloning was tried and more accurate results were obtained, especially for forward interprocedural analyses, such as preconditions and OUT regions, and for intraprocedural transformations- Since pips does not use an explicit interprocedural control ow graph cloning

Features	${\rm FIAT}$	Parascope	$\rm Parafrase\text{-}2$	Panorama	PIPS	Polaris	
	${\rm SUIF}$	$\operatorname{D-System}$			hpfc		
Cloning	\mathbf{X}					$\mathbf X$	
Inlining			X			$\mathbf X$	
Alias Analysis		X	X		$\mathbf X$		
$\rm Constants$	X	X	X		$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{X}}$	$\mathbf X$	
Inequalities	$\mathbf X$		X		$\mathbf X$		
Polynomials	$\mathbf X$		X				
Effects (SDFI)		X			$\mathbf X$		
RSD		X					
$\rm RSDs$	$\mathbf X$					$\mathbf X$	
gRSDs				$\mathbf X$			
Polyhedral					$\mathbf X$		
Use-Def Chains				$\mathbf X$	$\mathbf X$		
Reaching Decomp.		X			$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{X}}$		
Overlap					$\mathbf X$		
IO's		X			$\mathbf X$		
Engine	${\rm FIAT}$	FIAT			PipsMake		

Figure 5: Features of some academic projects

and selective cloning would be easy to implement.

Features described in references and WEB pages about the projects presented above are shown in Figure -- They are informally grouped into categories some related to the environment the store and the command domains of denotational semantics- This summary is not provided as a definite comparison between different academic projects which are still evolving, but as a set of pointers for readers interested in a given feature. Obviously we take responsibility for any mistake or missing information-

Conclusion

Interprocedural analyses have come of age- Thanks to cpu and memory technology improvements futuristic approaches of the eighties are now implemented in commercial completed to and space complexities of such and space complexities are still issues but they are still into the not perceived any longer as impossible to tackle-

Most research compiler prototypes take interprocedural issues into account and some classification effort has now been undertaken to better understand the relationship between the manual and the procedural analyses published since and since \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n in \mathbb{R}^n effort must be pursued, in particular for interdependent analyses which may override the existing classification.

The current interprocedural frameworks for scienti
c programming in Fortran are surveyed in this paper- Few experimental results about eectiveness have been published and additional research in the interprocedural analysis area is necessary before a real distinction comparison can be made-up the speeding comparison particular the speeding the speed is still an issue but has not yet been extensively studied - For that purpose more generic tools for the experimentation of interprocedural analyses, such as $F[AT|46]$, $PAG [4]$, or PIPS, should be made available.

Many issues linked to interprocedural analyses have been dealt with by PIPS, the in- α erprocedural For α an 11 source- α -source parallelizer developed at Ecole des mines. To supports a comprehensive set of interprocedural analyses, each with a large number of accuracy options- Its interpretations- interpretation and interpretational engine \sim and the contract \sim other interprocedural tools briey surveyed in this paper fiat-suif Parascope and the D System Parameter Polaris and Parafrase Polaris and Panorama- pipe is a publication to be different able for SunOS, Solaris, AIX, LINUX and OSF-1 at URL http://www.cri.ensmp.fr/pips designed to explore interprocedural techniques for Fortran programs- Future develop ments include array privatization at the procedure level extension of PipsMake to deal

efficiently with fixpoints of analysis and transformation phases, and speed/accuracy comparison of various array region analyses based on different representations.

References

- [1] G. Agrawal and J. Saltz. Interprocedural partial redundancy elimination and its application to distributed memory compilation In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation- pages - June
- [2] F. E. Allen. Interprocedural data flow analysis. In Proceedings of the IFIP Congress, pages -
- and Man Wegman and FK Zadeck Detection equality of variables in programs in programs in programs in programs in in Symposium on Principles of Principles of Panishing Canada Progress-Progress-Canadians, Principles of Princip
- [4] M. Alt and F. Martin. Generation of efficient interprocedural analyzers with PAG. In Static Analysis Symposium- Lecture Notes in Computer Science- pages Springer verlage and the set of the set of
- , a constitute station standard Institute Programming Language Forth Programming Programming Programming Progr - ISO -
- C Ancourt- F Coelho- B Creusillet- and R Keryell How to add a new phase in PIPS The case of dead code elimination. In Sixth International Workshop on Compilers for Paral lel Computers-Paral lel Computers- pages - pages
- [7] V. Balasundaram and K. Kennedy. A technique for summarizing data access and its use in parallelism enhancing transformations In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation- pages - June
- [8] J. Banning. An efficient way to find the side effects of procedure calls and the aliases of variables In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages- January
- S Beneric and HP Brezhoner, array statements array statements array statements and procession \mathbb{R}^n interfaces in the PREPARE High Performance Fortran compiler. In International Conference on Compiler Construction- Lecture Notes in Computer Science- pages -April 1994.
- [10] D. Binkley. Interprocedural constant propagation using dependence graphs and a dataow model International Conference on Conference on Construction-International Construction-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation-Internation April 1994.
- , and the moment was made in the complete of the complete and the complete the complete of the contract of the d Padua-Parallel programming and P Tu Parallel programming and P Tu Parallel programming and P Tu Parallel pro with Polaris Computer- - December
- [12] W. Blume and R. Eigenmann. Performance analysis of parallelizing compilers on the Perfect Benchmarks programs. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, - November
- w Blume and R Eigenmann The range test a dependence test for symbolic-symboliclinear expressions In International Conference on Supercomputing- pages -November 1994.
- [14] W. Blume and R. Eigenmann. Symbolic analysis techniques needed for the effective parallelization of the Perfect Benchmarks. In International Conference on Parallel Processing-cessing-
- [15] M. Burke. An interval-based approach to exhaustive and incremental interprocedural data-flow analysis. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems, - July
- [16] M. Burke and R. Cytron. Interprocedural dependence analysis and parallelization. In achment and the computation-computation-computation-computation-computation-computation-computation-computatio
- [17] Michael Burke and Linda Torczon. Interprocedural optimization: Eliminating unnecessary recompilation. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems, - July
- callahan-kennedy-k cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-cooper-le-c agation In According to the Company Symposium on Compiler Compiler Construction- Compiler Construction- Construction-1986.
- [19] D. Callahan. The program summary graph and flow-sensitive interprocedural data-flow analysis International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementa tion-beam and the state of the s
- , and and cooperative and L Torczon Parallel and L Torczon Paragonal and L Torczon Paragonal Paragonal Paragona programming environment. The International Journal of Supercomputer Applications, - Winter
- [21] D. Callahan and K. Kennedy. Analysis of interprocedural side effects in a parallel programming environment Journal of Paral lel and Distributed Computing- -
- , and all indicates are research and report report and all indicates are all indicates and \sim
- [23] P. Carini. Flow-sensitive interprocedural constant propagation. Research report RC - IBM-Berger - IBM-
- J Choi- R Cytron- and J Ferrante Automatic construction of sparse data ow eval uation graphs In Symposium on Principles of Principles of Principles of Principles of Programming La January 1991.
- F Coelho Compilation of IO communications for HPF In Frontiers pages -February 1995. Available via http://www.cri.ensmp.fr/~coelho.
- , and a cooper- and annual crummers and an anti-matched and anti-matched (1, 0) and crummers (1, 2) and a coop zon- and S Waren The Parascope parallel programming environment Proceedings of \cdots . \cdots \cdots . \cdots .
- . In the ware control in IEEE In IEEE International International International International International In Conference on Computer Language- April
- [28] K. D. Cooper and K. Kennedy. Efficient computation of flow insensitive interprocedural summary information in the Compiler Service Compiler Compiler Compiler Construction- Pages - June
- [29] K. D. Cooper and K. Kennedy. Fast interprocedural alias analysis. In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages- pages - February
- $[50]$ D. Oreusiliet. Array Region Analyses and Applications. Fill thesis, Ecole des mines de Paris- December Available at httpwwwcriensmpfrdocApsgz
- [31] B. Creusillet and F. Irigoin. Interprocedural array region analyses. *International Journal* special letters is a special interest where the property of the property of the contract of the contract of the
- R Cytron- J Ferrante- B Rosen- M Wegman- and K Zadeck Eciently computing static single assignement form and the control dependence graph. In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages- pages - January
- , and and M L Southern computation of interpretation of interpretation of interpretation of interpretation of dural dataow In Symposium on Principles of Principles of Principles of Principles of Programming Languages-January 1995.
- , and its contract the program dependence and its users in optimization ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems- -
- Is all corresponding interactions are or computed interactions and computed parallel computer and computed and tations In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implemen tation- pages - June ACM SIGPLAN Notices
- [36] D. Grove and L. Torczon. Interprocedural constant propagation: A study of jump functions implementations In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation-Implementation-Implementation-Implementation-Implementation-Implementation-Implementation-I
- J Gu- Z Li- and G Lee Symbolic array dataow analysis for array privatization and program parallelization in Supercomputing- recent is recently
- J Gu- Z Li- and G Lee Experience with ecient data ow analysis for array privatiza tion In Symposium on Principles and Principles and Progress and Programming-Programming-June 1997.
- R Gupta- L Pollock- and M L So
a Parallelizing data ow analysis In Workshop on Paral lel Compilation- May
- [40] M. Haghighat. Symbolic Analysis for Parallelizing Compilers. Kluwer Academic Publishers-
- , which is a more interesting interpreteduced to provide the control of the second process μ and the complete Texas- April
- , and married and Murphy-March-Coarse (1992), which married coarses and Murphy-March-Coarses and Murphy-Marchgrain parallelism using an interprocedural parallelizing compiler. In Supercomputing, December 1995.
- M Hall- J Anderson- S Amarasinghe- B Murphy- SW Liao- E Bugnion- and M Lam M is a multiplane multiplane with the SUIF compiler Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Computer-Compu - December
- , and compilation computer and CW Tseng Interpretations and CW Tseng Interpretational completences and complete of Fortrand of Paral lel and Distributed Computing- $\mathbb P$ and Distributed Computing- $\mathbb P$ and Distributed Computing-1996.
- [45] M. Hall and K. Kennedy. Efficient call graph analysis. Letters on Programming Languages and Systems- - September
- , and a correspondence in a company, and resources, which are interpreted for interesting and a framework for procedural analysis and transformation In Sixth International Workshop on Languages and Compilers for Paral leading weekend that Paral is the parallel of the computation of the computation of the
- , and matrix and Murphy- and Murphy-Murphy- and Murphy- and Murphy- and Murphy- and Murphy- and Murphy- and Mu for parallelization In Languages and Compilers for Paral lel Computing- Lecture Notes in Computer Science-Computer Science-Computer Science-Computer Science-Computer Science-Computer Science-Compu
- [48] M. J. Harrold and B. Malloy. A unified interprocedural program representation for a maintenance environment IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering- -June 1993.
- [49] M. J. Harrold and M. L. Soffa. Efficient computation of interprocedural definition-use chains Acm Transactions Act in Programming Languages and Systems-Systems-Systems-Systems-Systems-Systems-Systems-March 1994.
- [50] P. Havlak and K. Kennedy. An implementation of interprocedural bounded regular section analysis IEEE Transactions on Paral lel and Distributed Systems- -July 1991.
- M Hind- M Burke- P Carini- and S Midki Interprocedural array analysis How much precision do we need ? In Third Workshop on Compilers for Parallel Computers, pages - July
- [52] F. Irigoin. Interprocedural analyses for programming environments. In Workshop on En vironments and Tools for Paral lel Scientic Computing-to-the pages in the pages of the pages of the pages of th September 1992.
- F Irigoin- P Jouvelot- and R Triolet Semantical interprocedural parallelization An overview of the PIPS product International Conference on Supercomputing-on Supercomputing-on Supercomputing-on - June
- , we community the control man that is seen and many symbolic interaction interaction in the symbolic interaction procedural dependence analysis Paral lel Computing- -
- [55] W. Landi and B. Ryder. A safe approximation algorithm for interprocedural pointer aliasing. In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementhe pages of the set o
- YF Lee- B Ryder- and M Fiuczynski Region analysis a parallel elimination method for data ow analysis IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering- -November 1995.
- [57] Z. Li and P.-C. Yew. Efficient interprocedural analysis and program parallelization and restructuring. In Symposium on Parallel Processing: Experience with Applications, Languages and Systems- pages -
- [58] J. Loeliger and R. Metzger. Developing an interprocedural optimizing compiler. ACM $S = S$. Access that the state of S , $S = \{S \mid S \in S \mid S \neq \emptyset\}$. And the state of S
- [59] T. Marlowe and B. Ryder. An efficient hybrid algorithm for incremental data flow analysis In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages- pages - January 1990.
- \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and M Burke Dening over \mathcal{L} . The matrix in dening over \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} Research Technical Report lcsrtr- Rutgers University- Laboratory of Computer Sci ence and the state of the s
- D Maydan Accurate Analysis of Array References PhD thesis- Stanford University-September 1992.
- [62] H. Mayer and M. Wolfe. Interprocedural alias analysis: Implementation and empirical results Software Practice and Experience- - November
- E Morel and C Renvoise Interprocedural elimination of partial redundancies In s machinese and all controls and analysis program Flow Analysis Prentice Hall-Hall-Analysis Prentice
- [64] E. Myers. A precise inter-procedural data-flow algorithm. In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages-Band Languages-Band Languages-Band Languages-Band Languages-Band Languages-Band Langu
- T Nguyen- J Gu- and Z Li An interprocedural parallelizing compiler and its support for memory hierarchy research. In Languages and Compilers for Parallel Computing, Lecture Notes in Computer Science- pages SpringerVerlag- August
- [66] P. M. Petersen. Evaluation of Programs and Parallelizing Compilers using Dynamic Analysis Techniques PhD thesis- CSRD- University of Illinois- January CSRD report No. 1273.
- [67] A. Platonoff. Automatic data distribution for massively parallel computers. In Fifth International Workshop on Computers for Parallel Computers pages for Pages of Computers-
- C Polychronopoulos- M Girkar- M Haghighat- C Lee- B Leung- and D Schouten Parafrase An environment for parallelizing- partitionning- synchronizing and schedul ing programs on multiprocessors. In *International Conference on Parallel Processing*, August
- [69] T. Reps. Solving demand versions of interprocedural analysis problems. In *International* conference on computer construction-pages for the pages of \sim
- T Repseudo and M Sagive Precise interpretations interpretational data and management interpretational design a reachability In Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages- pages -January 1995.
- [71] B. Ryder. Constructing the call graph of a program. IEEE Transactions on Software engineering-state the second contract of the second contract
- [72] V. Sarkar. PTRAN $-$ the IBM parallel translation system. In Parallel Functional Programming Languages and Compilers- pages ACM Press Frontier Series-1991.
- [73] M. Sharir and A. Pnuelli. Two approaches to interprocedural data flow analysis. In S Muchnick and N Jones- editors- Program Flow Analysis- pages Prentice Hall- Inc-
- [74] K. Smith and W. Appelbe. PAT an interactive Fortran parallelizing assistant tool. In International Conference on Paral lel Processing- volume - pages -
- V Sreedhar- G Gao- and YF Lee A new framework for exhaustive and incremental analysis using DJ graphs In International Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation-between the state of the s
- E Stoltz- M Gelerk- and M Wolfe Extended SSA with factored usedef chains to support optimization and parallelism. In *International Conference on System Sciences*, pages -- -- -- -- -- --
- R Triolet- P Feautrier- and F Irigoin Direct parallelization of call statements In ACM signal computer in the Computer Construction of the pages of the computer computer of the comp
- [78] P. Tu. Automatic Array Privatization and Demand-Driven Symbolic Analysis. PhD thesis-Indian Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-Champaign-
- [79] Kwangkeun Yi and Williams Ludwell Harrison III. Automatic generation and management of interprocedural program analyses. In Symposium on Principles of Programming \equiv \cdots \rightarrow \cdots \sim \sim \equiv \cdots \equiv \cdots \equiv \cdots \equiv \cdots